IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

GENEVA COLLEGE; WAYNE L. HEPLER; THE SENECA HARDWOOD LUMBER COMPANY, INC., a Pennsylvania Corporation; WLH ENTERPRISES, a Pennsylvania Sole Proprietorship of Wayne L. Hepler; and CARRIE E. KOLESAR))))))	
Plaintiff,)	
,)	
)	
V.)	Case No. 2:12-cv-00207
•	<i>,</i>	Cusc 110. 2.12 ev 00207
KATHLEEN SEBELIUS)	
in her official capacity as Secretary of the)	
United States Department of Health and Human)	
Services, HILDA SOLIS)	
in her official capacity as Secretary of the)	
United States Department of Labor, TIMOTHY)	
GEITHNER)	
in his official capacity as Secretary of the)	
United States Department of the Treasury,)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF)	
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,)	
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF)	
LABOR, UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT)	
OF THE TREASURY)	

ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Upon consideration of the motion for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 87) by plaintiff Geneva College ("Geneva"), its memorandum and affidavits in support, the parties' briefing and oral argument on defendants' motion to dismiss, this court's Memorandum Opinion and Order dated March 6, 2013, and for the reasons set forth in the accompanying findings of fact and conclusions of law;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Geneva's motion for preliminary injunction is hereby GRANTED;

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants, their agents, officers, and employees, are

Case 2:12-cv-00207-JFC Document 92 Filed 06/18/13 Page 2 of 2

hereby ENJOINED from applying or enforcing the requirements imposed in 42 U.S.C. § 300gg-

13(a)(4) by requiring that Geneva's student health insurance plan, its plan broker, or its plan

insurer provide abortifacients contrary to Geneva's religious objections.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the injunction hereby granted shall remain in effect

until this court makes a full determination on the merits of the case, or the United States Supreme

Court or United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit renders a decision on the merits of

this case or an adverse decision in a substantially similar case, whichever occurs first; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a bond in the amount of zero (0) dollars is appropriate.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 18, 2013

BY THE COURT:

/s/Joy Flowers Conti Joy Flowers Conti United States District Judge